

ATTACHMENT #1

HEADQUARTERS EVALUATION SUMMARY

## Valves used for evaluation:

a. Excellent	-	4
b. Good	-	3
c. Fair	-	2
d. Poor	-	1

Contractors

25X1

EquipmentsPhotographic

Installation type	3	4	2	1
Feasibility	2	4	2	1
Size	2	4	2	1
Weight	1	2	2	1
Lens Speed	3	4	3	3
Focal Length	2	3	3	3
Film size width	3	3	4	3
Scale	2	3	3	3
Coverage	4	3	4	2
Flight line distance	4	3	3	2
Resolution	3	4	3	3
Overlap	1	3	3	None
Lens film combination	2	4	2	2
Convergent	2	4	1	1
Data Recording	2	4	2	1
IMC	3	4	3	2
Stabilization	3	4	1	1

25X1

Complete System

Expected Reliability	3	4	2	1
Simplicity	3	3	2	3
Maintainability	3	4	2	2
Growth Potential	2	4	2	1

Contractor

Understanding the Problem	3	4	2	2
Capability	3	3	3	2
Support	2	4	2	1

TOTALS -

61

86

58

42

ATTACHMENT #2

SUITABILITY FOR PHOTO INTELLIGENCE

SUBJECT			
Scales at Nadir	2.2	3.5	2.8
Resolution	2.2	2.8	4.0
Linear Coverage	3.5	2.2	4.0
Lateral Coverage	3.5	2.8	3.0
Stereo Coverage	1.0	3.3	3.8
Reliability	1.25	2.2	3.8
Film Size (Format)	1.25	1.75	1.75
Stabilization	1.0	4.0	4.0
Calibrated F/L	1.0	1.0	1.0
Film Shrinkage	1.0	1.0	1.0
V/H, IMC	1.0	3.0	3.0
Altitude Determ.	1.0	3.0	3.5
Nadir Indicator	1.0	1.75	1.75
Data Presentation	1.0	1.0	1.0
Film Type/Speed	3.0	3.0	4.0
Proposal	1.0	2.2	4.0
	25.9	38.5	45.6

Note: Readings are the average readings of four evaluators.

25X1A

/s/    
Ch/TISD/PIC